

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 08 NOV 2004

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

07 DEC 2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference P56966PC00		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/NL 02/00436	International filing date (day/month/year) 03.07.2002	Priority date (day/month/year)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H03L7/093			
Applicant TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET L.M. ERICSSON ET AL.			



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.
- ☒ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 4 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the opinion
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☐ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☐ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 13.01.2004	Date of completion of this report 11.11.2004
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	Authorized Officer Balbinot, H Telephone No. +31 70 340-3344 

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1-11 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-16 received on 01.10.2004 with letter of 30.09.2004

Drawings, Sheets

1/6-6/6 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/NL 02/00436**

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-16
	No: Claims	NONE
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-16
	No: Claims	NONE
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-16
	No: Claims	NONE

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 95/31861 A (COPELAND MILES ALEXANDER ;RILEY THOMAS ATKIN DENNING (CA)) 23 November 1995 (1995-11-23)
D2: BEARDS R D ET AL: "AN OVERSAMPLING DELTA-SIGMA FREQUENCY DISCRIMINATOR" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS II: ANALOG AND DIGITAL SIGNAL PROCESSING, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 41, no. 1, 1994, pages 26-32, XP000439300 ISSN: 1057-7130.
D3: J. B. Encinas "PHASE LOCKED LOOPS", Chapman & Hall, London, GB 1993, ISBN 0 412 48260 6, pages 11-29

2. The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

a locked loop circuit (see fig. 1) at least including:

- a loop input (Fd);
- a detector section (103-105) for detecting a difference between an input signal (Fd) and a reference signal (Do), said detector section (103-105) having a detector input connected to said loop input (Fd), a reference input (Do) and a detector output (the output signal of D/A converter 105) for outputting a signal related to said difference (see page 1, line 32 to page 2, line 1);
- a controlled oscillator (107) having an input communicatively connected to said detector output and an oscillator output connected to a loop output; and
- a feedback circuit (101, 102, 108) connecting said oscillator output to said reference input (Do), wherein said feedback circuit includes a device (frequency discriminator 101; see details thereof in fig. 2 and 3a) having a transfer function with at least one zero (see the present application page 10, lines 22-24 and comment 1 below), and the look loop circuit has a closed loop transfer function without zeros (see comment 2 below).

Comment 1: it is noted that another embodiment of a suitable frequency discriminator for use in D1 is said to be disclosed in D2 (see D1, see page 6, lines 23-30), so that the relevant teachings of D2 may be considered as being included into D1. According to the present application itself (see page 11, lines 11-15), the frequency discriminator disclosed in D2 has a transfer function which includes

a zero.

Comment 2: Although the single embodiment of the frequency locked loop circuit disclosed in details in D1 with reference to figure 1 does show a locked loop circuit having a closed loop transfer function with a zero, the teachings of D1 are not limited to such locked loop circuits: D1 explicitly recites in the section entitled "DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION" on page 1 that "Filters means may be provided between the differencing means and the digital-to-analog conversion means" (see page 1, lines 32-33) and "Where both the first-mentioned filter means and the second-mentioned filter means are included, a stabilizing zero means will generally be included to ensure stability of the frequency synthesizer circuit" (see page 2, lines 2-4) (emphasis added). It is therefore clear that the teachings of D1 are not limited to PLL's having a zero in the closed loop transfer function. In particular if the second integrating filter 106 (see fig. 1 of D1) were not used, then the stabilizing zero in the first filter 104 would not need to be provided, as is clear from the above-mentioned passage of D1 and from D3, which is a reference book in the field of phase locked loops, see paragraph 2.4.1 thereof, which clearly explains that a PLL having a lowpass filter with no zeros and a single pole is unconditionally stable (see D3, page 19, line 3). As stated in D3, page 21, lines 1-4, such PLL's have advantages and disadvantages since they are simpler but do not allow simultaneous optimization of bandwidth, damping factor and gain. Such PLLs having no zero in the closed loop are yet used in a number of applications. The same reasoning also applies if the first filter 104 were not used and only the second filter 106 were used.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 thus differs from this known locked loop circuit in that it is a **phase**-locked loop circuit and in that said detector section is a **phase** detector section for detecting a **phase** difference between said input signal and said reference signal and for outputting a signal related to said **phase** difference.

Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is new.

- 2.2 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as the provision

of a phase locked loop wherein initial phase differences can be compensated for so as to generate a more stable output signal.

- 2.3 Starting from D1, extensive modifications of the synthesizer disclosed therein would be required to adapt the synthesizer of D1 such that an initial phase difference is reduced and phase locked. In particular, the inputs of the detector section 103-105 would have to be modified to contain information about the initial phase, which would in turn would require a complete change of the structure of the feedback loop of D1, since the decimator 102, the frequency discriminator 101 as well as the input signal F_d would all have to be suitably adapted.

Furthermore such modifications are neither disclosed nor suggested by the available prior art and are considered to be beyond the scope of current practice of the person skilled in the art.

Therefore it is considered that the skilled person would not amend the teachings of D1 so as to arrive at a phase locked loop according to claim 1. Hence claim 1 is considered to involve an inventive step.

- 3 Claim 14 is directed to a method for generating a periodic signal corresponding to the phase locked loop circuit according to claim 1. Hence its subject-matter is new over D1, which is also considered to represent the closest prior art to that claim, for similar reasons to those given above for claim 1.
4. Claims 2-13, 15 and 16 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
5. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☒ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.